



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10602
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Coverings and Storage at Different Temperatures on Dragon fruits (<i>Hylocereus undatus</i>)
Type of the Article	Original research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	important topics to the field of research. Quality of writing and other presentations (figures, tables, exhibits) are superior. Conceptual rigors (clarity of objectives, treatment of relevant literature, logical reasoning) are superior. Methodological rigors (research design, sampling, data collection/analyses as relevant to qualitative/quantitative data) are superior. General discussion and conclusions (implications, limitations, future research) are superior. Reference no 1. Article name has not found. Donadio C L. Pitaya. Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura. 2009; 31(3): 637-929	The reference (Donadio C L. Pitaya. Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura. 2009; 31(3): 637-929) was substituted by the (Marques V B, Moreira R A, Ramos J D, Araújo N A, Silva F O R. Fenologia reprodutiva de pitaia vermelha no município de Lavras, MG. 2011; 41(6): 984-987) [1] and (Rebecca O P S, Boyce A N, Chandran S. Pigment identification and antioxidant properties of red dragon fruit (<i>Hylocereus polyrhizus</i>. 2010; 9(10): 1450-1454) [25].
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please clarify the ethical issue if any	There are no conflict of interest.